

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/417,251	10/13/1999	REBECCA E. CAHOON	BB1085-US-NA	7181
23906 7:	590 01/08/2003			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			EXAMINER	
			ZARA, JANE J	
WILMINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/417,251

First Last

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Uni

1234

Cahoon et al



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of			
	for Reply	TO TYPING ON A MONITURE PROM		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET INVALID DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM		
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
- Failure	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status		ļ		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 22, 20	002		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	ition of Claims	ļ		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>16-20, 22-30, and 36-38</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>16-20, 22-30, and 36-38</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,		
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·		
14)∐				
a) ∟ 15\□				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm∈ 1)	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)19	6) Other:		
. 44		the state of the s		

Application/Control Number: 09/417,251

Art Unit: 1635

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the communication filed October 22, 2002, Paper No. 18.

Claims 16-20, 22-30, 36-38 are pending in the instant application.

Response to Arguments

Maintained Rejections

Claims 16-18, 22-30 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, for the reasons set forth in the Office action mailed June 29, 2001, Paper No. 10 and reiterated in the Office action mailed April 19, 2002, Paper No. 16.

Applicant's arguments filed October 22, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that alignment of the purported PDI sequences of SEQ ID NO: 10 and other sequences well known in the art provides sufficient guidance and description for any and/or all sequences sharing 85% identity with SEQ ID NO: 10. Contrary to Applicants' assertions, such alignment data does not provide adequate written description for all polynucleotide sequences that potentially encode PDI's and share 85% identity with SEQ ID NO: 10. Specific - not general - guidance is required, and alignment data with previously identified and characterized PDI's do not provide the specific guidance required.

Application/Control Number: 09/417,251

Art Unit: 1635

Claims 16-20, 22-30 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for the reasons set forth in the Office action mailed June 29, 2001, Paper No. 10 and reiterated in the Office action mailed April 19, 2002, Paper No. 16.

Applicant's arguments filed October 22, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that no undue experimentation is required to enable the scope of the claims. Contrary to Applicants' assertions, it would require undue experimentation beyond that which has been provided in the instant disclosure to identify and characterize any and/or all polynucleotides which share 85% identity with SEQ ID NO: 10, and which exhibit PDI activity. Homology does not imply function - and the delineation of purported catalytic sites sharing sequence homology with previously identified and characterized PDI's does not provide enablement for the broad scope claimed.

Claims 16-20, 22-30 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth in the Office action mailed April 19, 2002, Paper No. 16.

No new grounds of argument have been presented for this rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/417,251

Art Unit: 1635

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone numbers for the Group are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Application/Control Number: 09/417,251 Page 5

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jane Zara** whose telephone number is (703) 306-5820. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, can be reached on (703) 308-0447. Any inquiry regarding this application should be directed to the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3413. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SEAN McGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

JZ

December 27, 2002